Journal and Contributions to Understanding

Paris (*s.*) Miles-Brenden

I determined that a confirmation can be found, and also a dis-confirmation can be found. In the presence of people it is a disconfirmative, as to the doctor (of earlier reasoning for which is with hearing and speaking); and of the given that there is a non-confirmatory strict inequality given observation in 0-1 when through a 'lens on other among two' (as to quantum and general matter waves).

This, for which 'when telling for one's self if one is deluded' and 'the absence due the anomaly in relation to what is related to presence and absence' – tells it is not an objective reality for the observer with two. Thus the disconfirmative.

When a confirmatory in relation to three, it is a 2-1 for which is silent thus, compared, and an obstacle of which the informed basis does not come from speaking to-people, for of the group theory of quanta, & historical-social bridge.

I found there were two, and there was some-confusion regarding the ring. If it were perfect, through what are an absence of imperfections it could not form the perfect. The woman with the child is not AM or AK, but instead from the beach, and, so, it is ethical in a certain sense, she is as uncertain as me. I didn't completely know, but one thing was wrong, for they had not communicated, and I am now down to two choices. One is the woman from the beach with son, then AG.

This off two-onto one, is all I can possibly know from-here without the Ring, so as it is, I have a fact ***to** interpret what is blind and unheard.

With tears in my eyes, I turn against one thing from my ethical background, towards another, from stringency to doing no harm, and embracing what will be, for other's. My heart is broken, for this means giving up my individuation and fear. They can have their damn way of taking me away when I don't know if they know better. This torture has finally broken me. So I will pay a careless attitude to service people, and live through pain, but I will not be provoked to madness. It was, and so shall it perhaps be, I can convey no longer in terms of cooperation for of what I have suffered, I was a slave, and so shall I be.

Quite distinct from the criminal is the mentally ill. Furthermore in the capacible end of murder, there is a given difference among two and one and three, - to-which as my Father is departed, one for one among absence, is indicated to self for what is a paternal figure, of what is return from murder, thus 'O.', for which he verily has had another relationship of intimacy besides his wife, in-life.

Then, that in a bridge, for instance, one indication of his is to-self, in-inclusion, and as to me, two of which one is on-wards as with him, and one to him, for of retreat of me given return of a day prior of-night (he cannot deny) of his day to come of which is an attempt at-night. It was my Father's bible for-me, thence so; *another lost*, but sparingly one, and of one life reclaimed, such as mine beside. Did I or did I not 'outrun' in day and night, what is alone fired and landing again and again, for what is Obama collapsing, and half (per the rounds of the rifle), by in yet it firing?

What we learn, is the 'sniper' in-perimeter to be 'on-target' need 'outlast' the diurnality of day and night at-average (Brownian) of agent* in linear rectification over a great-venture, to return (2^{nd}) in laity it's discomparative of sight.

One bullet (2^{nd}) returning, would manifest as the initial would the day have been later-yet than the day in D.C. [the night in IN per the day in D.C.], thus, that neither would fire, for of what was of one bullet (1^{st}) would appear yet second, to which it would have been congruent earlier-yet. To prove it was acted upon, the night prior was the day in-advance, as a result of the second to which was later, and the observation returns from many what is one unto Obama's observation.

For of day to night or night to day, the 'incidence' in development for the notepad, and question posed by the officer (of D.C.); 1^{st}, the third-color for which two steps re-addressable pivot(s) answers from which Obama cannot see comparatively what I am unconsolidated *of* [for by my colorblindness] then to reproof per the journal to a life saved in isolation, and formative to Obama in 2^{nd}; the color in distinction of me to which per inference identifies with-me, per accumulatory distinction. [qm].

Then, an important question involving the Tree of Knowledge and the Tree of Life.

Then;

a.) If self is set in these terms; 'of the above' – and there is meaning, of abstract inclusiveness and exclusion, is it determined as being?

Being is determined so as karma is the state of resting, and samsara is. Of the thusness of our world and us, being is qualified as due karma. If I am the seed of karma, I have come about due the state of inclination to which suffering was in absence, it's rest, the state of imputing upon samsara, what derived from self comes from abandonment.

And; b.) If suffering can be escaped; 'and given 'other' religions in which suffering is tantamount 'actionable motivation''; the question is of faith in moderacy, and a reliable truth, to which is it determined, as certain or severable yet existential?

It is definite suffering can be escaped, freedom, then is factually a ‘state of being’ when in the absence of body entrained on opposing of karma and samsara. Abandonment of suffering is the state of existence, when the being rests, for which being is determined, and self is not, for of which being must be such that karma and samsara may be observed, or that one is deluded.

1.) One exception makes the rule, to which substantially a ‘known regional event’ may and does often exclude antithesis of formative alternatively provisional application of constitution of agency ‘in-else in-region’.

2.) Guaranteorship purveys rules to substantiate & denominate pro-additional(s) of selective or germane allocation propositional ‘probability as hue-chart in colored-contrast of graphical’, as to degrees of indication applicable.

c.) The Chernoff-Tychonoff limit must be imposed.

Reset every 56 hour(s) or in-IDLE. *cloud.

Golden Rule Briefcase.
Obama’s code as to time Safe-Picking.

There is something interesting which happens when a timer is set. The given of destination upon people to come in one’s family becomes multifaceted, for which the ‘event’ of such does not occur, but *still there is proof for which must be presented. The action proceeding the proof is in fact one way, it’s alternative, an attribute of prediction & predictive proof.

I can now rest for some time, then come back to tasks.
I can speed up or slow down the rate of presentation or approaches.
And; I can consider action(s) and thought(s) and long and short term plans.

Observation is second-tantamount undivistorialness, and reclaimant first (primary) absolvement. Distinguishing people, via operational (and managerial operationalism) of tasks, unrelated to woemanship of another by of absolvement in one’s own success, to the purpose of general good-augry reprieves the consolation of what makes of hope into method and community mercifulness what is not absolution to sinfulness, loss, dissolution, suffering, and being grieved without hope.

November 8th, 2026

Recently my life improved with my medical care. This began about three to five month(s) ago, and I have not been drinking more than one beer per 186 days to 112. I feel that with my major observation that ACT was here to help me recover and it was an approved outcome of their treatment, that I have come beyond the days of fear I so related of in the past. Much has changed, and in fact I believe this, with the fact that I was relieved of several criminal actions (2x+1) there is much that I have found for which I have a future of success. My work in research (now just research given the device may or may not be commercialized and the success with my paper for publication) had reached a kind of paused delay, and had related of what I was doing in both fields, but today I had a breakthrough.

One breakthrough involves the compounds that perplexed me for over 20 years.
One breakthrough pits conventional computation as of a similarity but also a distinction, which proves to entirely new developments.
This distinction may find commercial application, and it is what I have 'ahead' that gets me excited with this area.
With relationships, I am about a new way, then that I can tell (baseline) when I am acknowledged, but there is only a forbid relationship of communication with 2-3.
Thus, I have reconciled the main modality of my illness (the fact that it is an illness being suggested here and valid). As a result I have treatment to retain to.
Finally, it is not so much due the following, but I stopped living in fear of hospitalizations.

In the end my place is here, living on Earth, and some very good 'spiritual' things have happened.
I did not know if spiritual 'alignment' or spiritual 'familiarity' was more to be valued.

Then I decided that spiritual alignment with relationships comes secondary in the given of familiarity on the world-sphere. This makes me cry, for so much I had believed it was me, and this was dangerous.

I am abandoning organized religion.
I have now a world, a notion of what religion means to me, and Earth, and people (as friends).
I have decided to vow to help this world, but of Earth.
And I have absolutely decided not to see religious figures 'as gods'; or that in some way; I decide of what religion (of those that are) means (as it might from me).

Thus, to choose to wed, then practice faith, is one thing.
To choose to be, practicing faith, another.
We need be of the world, shared with people, and make a decision as to practicing of faith that respects another. Life is to be lived, but of this, we live again.